

# Hazard Specific Risk Assessment: Hydrological

## 3 - Coastal Erosion Hazard and Risk Assessment

*Key words: Coastal erosion hazard and risk assessment, built environment, risk mitigation*

### Description of the Hazard, Sources and Setting

Coastal erosion (or shoreline retreat) is the loss of coastal lands due to the net removal of sediments or bedrock from the shoreline. Erosion is typically driven by the action of waves and currents, but also by mass wasting processes on slopes, and subsidence (particularly on muddy coasts). Significant episodes of coastal erosion are often associated with extreme weather events (coastal storms, storm surge and flooding) but also from tsunamis, both because the waves and currents tend to have greater intensity, and because the associated storm surge or tsunami inundation may allow waves and currents to attack landforms which are normally out of their reach. On coastal headlands, such processes may lead to undercutting of cliffs and steep slopes and contribute to mass wasting. In addition, heavy rainfall can enhance the saturation of soils, with high saturation leading to a reduction in the soil's shear strength, and a corresponding increase in the chance of slope failure.

Coastal erosion is a natural process which occurs whenever the transport of material away from the shoreline is not balanced by the deposition of new material onto the shoreline. Many coastal landforms naturally undergo quasi-periodic cycles of erosion and accretion on time-scales of days to years. This is especially evident on sandy landforms such as beaches, dunes, and intermittently closed and open lagoon entrances. However, human activities can also strongly influence the propensity of landforms to erode. For example, the construction of coastal structures (e.g. breakwaters, groynes and seawalls) can lead to changes in coastal sediment transport pathways, resulting in erosion in some areas and accretion in others<sup>1</sup>. The removal of sediments from the coastal system (e.g. by dredging, sand mining), or a reduction in the supply of sediments (e.g. by the regulation of rivers) may also be associated with unintended erosion. For example, shoreline retreat in the highly populated mega-deltas of Asia is partly attributed to regulation of rivers reducing the sediment supply to the shoreline and associated deltaic plains, in addition to groundwater extraction which has increased subsidence rates<sup>2</sup>. At larger scales, natural and human-induced climate change can modulate the likelihood and rate of coastal erosion. For example, mean sea level is predicted to increase in the coming decades/centuries due to anthropogenic climate change, and this is expected to increase the frequency of coastal inundation events and thus opportunities for shoreline erosion<sup>3</sup>.

Coastal erosion becomes a hazard when society does not adapt to its effects on people, the built environment and infrastructure. Many human settlements are constructed in areas vulnerable to coastal erosion, with early estimates suggesting around 70% of the global coastline is eroding<sup>4</sup>. However, it is difficult to accurately quantify the global distribution of the hazard and risk, since coastal landforms and human settlements generally vary significantly over spatial scales of metres to kilometres, and current global scale datasets are inadequate for assessments at this scale. National scale assessments<sup>5</sup> highlight that there is considerable spatial variability in the risk at these fine scales.

## Examples of coastal erosion

Some examples of coastal erosion:

Rapid:

- Storm surge: Australia has experienced a number of coastal erosion events, some dating from the 1800's. One of Australia's most damaging storms was the 1974 sequence impacting Queensland and New South Wales<sup>6</sup>.
- Tsunami: The 2004 Indian Ocean Tsunami caused severe coastal erosion in a number of locations, including Thailand<sup>7</sup>. Impact was also observed to coral reefs, sea grass and mangroves<sup>8</sup>.

Slow (sea-level rise):

- Happisburgh (United Kingdom), on Norfolk's North Sea coast, was once some distance from the sea. Historic records indicate that over 250m land was lost between 1600 and 1850. It is likely that the Norfolk cliffs have been eroding at the present rate for about the last 5000 years when sea level rose to within a metre or two of its present elevation.
- From most countries in the Pacific region there are many anecdotal reports that sea-level rise is already causing significant erosion and loss of land. Evidence of erosion includes beach scarps, undercutting of vegetation including coconut palms, and outcrops of beach rock that have become uncovered by shoreline changes.
- Tongatapu's coastline is subject to a range of coastal protection studies and works. The Tongan Ministry of Meteorology, Energy, Information, Disaster management, Climate Change and Communications has recognized the vulnerability of that coastline to coastal erosion processes launching the Coastal protection Project in 2015.

## Hazard assessment

A wide range of methodologies have been applied for coastal erosion hazard assessment. The key factors influencing coastal erosion hazard assessment methodologies include:

- The spatial and temporal scale of the analysis. This may range from an entire continent as part of a national assessment, to a regional analysis at local government scale or a single sediment compartment to inform a particular erosion issue. Likewise, the timescale of analysis can range from short-term (sub-annual) to better understand coastal behaviour across the seasonal weather cycle or long-term (decadal) to incorporate climate variability and inform planning decisions. Geological timescales are also relevant on those coasts where sea-level rise is ongoing due to natural subsidence (e.g. deltaic coasts such as in the Gulf of Mexico) or continued adjustments of land masses following deglaciation after the last ice age (e.g. eastern Canada and Northeast USA). These natural changes across various timescales provide important context for understanding coastal erosion processes on short time scales and when making planning decisions (see Figure 1 in [18])<sup>9</sup>. The

timeline then defines the range of events that should be considered, for example, residential buildings in Australia (life of asset expected to be at least 50 years) are designed for events with an annual probability of exceedance of 1/500 (for wind and earthquake);

- The nature of the coastal landforms and the offshore environment in the area of interest. At a general level, the form and composition of coastal landforms and the presence of barrier islands and reefs in the offshore environment determines the sets of physical processes that should be considered in an erosion assessment. Thus, sandy shorelines, coastal cliffs, fringing reef coasts and deltaic coasts are each affected by somewhat different processes;
- The nature of the sea action being considered. The underlying driver for erosion (e.g. sea level rise, storms or tsunami) will determine the types of analysis or modelling that will inform an assessment. In addition, future trends associated with climate change are critical and the event (or events) being considered (e.g. design event (specified event possibly based on consequence or likelihood criteria) or extreme event (largest event believed possible), or the full range of events (e.g. via a probabilistic analyses).

Our understanding of the coastal environment, and particularly how and where sediment is transported (i.e. the sediment budget), will critically affect the appropriate choice of spatial scale for the study. Available data for A-C will also place limitations on the nature of the hazard assessment (see table below for example input data for hazard assessments). Coastal compartments represent one way to define the scales that should be considered when taking actions that could affect sediment budgets. For example, construction of a groyne (coastal barrier) may protect a community as intended but cut-off sediment supply to another part of the same coastal compartment, thereby leading to coastal erosion downdrift. A typical coastal compartment identifying the sediment transport pathways can be found in the Climate Change Adaptation Guidelines published by Engineers Australia<sup>10</sup> (see Figure 4).

Where data may be sparse or non-existent, understanding the physical context and history of an eroding beach through available imagery (e.g. Google Earth), conducting site surveys to assess the wave climate and beach state, mapping coastal infrastructure (such as groynes) and features that may be controlling the sediment supply to the coastal zone of interest, and engagement with the local community is valuable. Establishing a baseline may also be necessary if suitable data does not exist. For example, shoreline mapping to record erosion lines and subsequent recovery over time will assist in understanding the impact of seasonal cycles in beach dynamics.

Estimating how a shoreline will change over time is an evolving science. State-of-the-science approaches include some form of shoreline response modelling that can be applied to coastal erosion hazard assessments. Modelling can provide information to address questions such as:

- How far would the shoreline retreat for the design level scenario?

- Which parts of the shoreline are more vulnerable to coastal erosion?
- Are there offshore features (e.g. reefs, barrier islands) which are vulnerable to sea-level rise?
- What is the probability of 1m, 5m or 10m of shoreline retreat (shown spatially for the region of interest)?
- What is the confidence (and uncertainty) in these estimates?
- What is the effectiveness of coastal defence options?

However, complex shoreline evolution models may not necessarily out-perform simpler approaches<sup>11</sup> and are not suitable for national scale assessments.

**TABLE 1 -** Sources of data for coastal erosion risk assessment

Description of Input Data	The national entities that most commonly have this data	Examples of Existing open databases available from international sources
Elevation data (onshore and offshore)	National spatial agencies, Local Government, Lands department, Universities / Academia	LINZ Data Service, 3DEP (USGS), US Interagency Elevation Inventory, Digital Coast (NOAA), ELVIS (onshore elevation),
Information on landform types (geomorphology and substrate) and sediment transport pathways	National research and development agencies (e.g. US Army Corp of Engineers), National geological survey, Local Government, Universities / Academia	Smartline (Australia), Geomorphic classification of the coastal zone (Australia), Coastal compartments (used in Australia, US, UK, some parts of Europe), Ground penetrating radar (determine location of bedrock)
Historic shoreline positions (e.g. from aerial photographs) and/or elevation transects	National research and development agencies (e.g. US Army Corp of Engineers), National geological survey, Local Government, Lands department, Academia, Local knowledge in community	UC Santa Barbara Map and Imagery Laboratory (MIL) Aerial Photography collection includes areas of China, central Asia, Africa, and Pacific Islands, NETR Online Historic Aerials (US based), USGS Coastal Change Hazards Portal, Narrabeen historic beach profiles, Historic aerial imagery
Exposure data (locations and characteristics of buildings, infrastructure, human population)	Local government (e.g. asset registers), Bureau of Statistics, Lands department	National Exposure Information System (NEXIS)
Historic sea levels and ocean waves, forecast sea level and ocean wave scenarios (including tsunami)	IPCC, Hydrographic Office, National Weather Service, Academia	Tsunami waveforms from national probabilistic tsunami hazard assessments, CAWCR Wave Hindcast 1979-2010, and 2013-2014, Manly Hydraulic Laboratory, IPCC

### Tsunami risk assessment use in national DRR measures

A risk assessment will typically be determined by combining the knowledge of the hazard, the elements at risk (e.g. built environment) and an understanding of the vulnerability of those elements. This vulnerability is often described by classes of damage, ranging from 'no damage' through to 'complete damage' (e.g. total destruction of an asset). In the case of the coastal erosion hazard, buildings (residential, commercial, public etc) can be considered requiring complete replacement or as being uninhabitable where their foundations are undermined. If

the risk assessment process considers other elements at risk, such as parts of the surrounding landforms and ecosystem, (e.g. dunes, mangroves, saltmarsh) then vulnerability models describing the level of damage to these elements will need to be determined. Coastal inundation hazards may also be included in the risk assessment, in which case suitable vulnerability models would need to be sourced (a starting point could be to employ flood damage models).

Case Study: The New South Wales (Australia) coastal erosion risk assessment<sup>12</sup> is a broad scale assessment for the entire coastline of the state of New South Wales, (over 2000km) combining the elements described above. Over several decades, New South Wales has experienced a number of severe coastal erosion events and with population increasing in the coastal zone, the risk profile is changing. The key output from this assessment was the identification of coastal erosion hotspots. This information allows government to prioritise their coastal management activities. This study also suggests that the assessment should be guided by the level of risk, and that there needs to be agreement amongst stakeholders on the acceptable thresholds of that risk.

Recommendations to reduce risk should be based on these assessments, and may take many forms, including:

- Land use policy and/or regulation, such as planning laws to limit development in at-risk areas (e.g. by defining coastal setback lines);
- Physical shoreline protection, such as beach nourishment, sea walls and groynes to maintain sediment volumes and help stabilise shoreline position;
- Physical offshore protection, such as breakwaters and artificial reefs to modify and redistribute the energy of storm waves, and;
- Environmental remediation approaches, such as maintaining or restoring natural ecosystems (e.g. mangrove forests, coral reefs and dune vegetation) to provide natural buffers to storm events.

Recent examples of the implementation of risk reduction measures:

- United Kingdom, Clacton coastal defences<sup>13</sup> [p19 of 9]
- United States
- Barrier Islands, New Jersey <https://toolkit.climate.gov/case-studies/restoring-natural-dunes-enhance-coastal-protection>
- Ventura, California <https://toolkit.climate.gov/case-studies/restoring-surfers-point-partnerships-persistence-pays>
- O'ahu North Shore <https://toolkit.climate.gov/case-studies/confronting-shoreline-erosion-o%E2%80%98ahu>
- New Zealand, Bay of Plenty dune rehabilitation <http://www.mfe.govt.nz/publications/climate-change/coast-care-bay-plenty-dune-restoration/coast-care-bay-plenty-dune>



### National case study

The National Coastal Risk Assessment for Australia conducted in 2010 and 2011<sup>14</sup> identified the spatial extent of settlements and infrastructure, ecosystems and industries in the coastal zone that would be impacted from inundation and erosion for a range of sea-level rise scenarios. The infrastructure assessed included residential, commercial, light industry buildings as well as transport systems. The assessment was led by the Federal Department of Climate Change with input from a range of technical experts (government science agencies, research institutions and consultants) as well as from state government departments responsible for coastal management.

The assessment required the development of national datasets, including: the digital elevation model (necessary for inundation modelling); high water level and storm tide (necessary for inundation modelling), and; coastal geomorphology (to identify segments of the coast which are susceptible to erosion). Through the assessment, a number of key areas emerged where data was lacking at the national scale: estuaries and knowledge of their shoreline geomorphology; national exposure data relating to important infrastructure; data on regional and local influences on coastal instability (i.e. inputs for coastal erosion models) and higher resolution digital elevation models (as coarse resolution models were not suitable for modelling inundation in low gradient coastal plains).

Key findings from the assessment were:

- Between \$41 and \$63 billion (2008 replacement value) of existing residential buildings are potentially at risk of inundation from a 1.1 m sea-level rise (between 157,000 and 247,600 individual buildings of the 711,000 existing buildings);
- Nearly 39,000 buildings are located within 110m of 'soft' shorelines and were at risk from accelerated erosion due to sea-level rise and changing climate conditions;
- The concentration of infrastructure in the coastal zone around population centres will bring risks to those assets which could have consequences for the delivery of community and essential services, regional economies and possibly the national economy. For example, there are 258 police, fire and ambulance stations, 5 power stations/sub stations, 75 hospitals and health services, 41 landfill sites, 3 water treatment plant, and 11 emergency services facilities located within 200m of the shoreline;
- While there is lack of information on social vulnerability to climate change, remote Indigenous communities in the north of Australia and communities living on the low-lying Torres Strait Islands are particularly vulnerable to sea-level rise.

The assessment provided a case for early action to reduce risk. There is a large legacy risk in the coastal zone from building and other infrastructure constructed in the past, without regard to climate change and the instability of some coastal landforms. For 'at-risk' areas, strategies to protect, accommodate or retreat will need to be

developed as sea level is projected to continue rising for several centuries. Triggers will be needed to identify when on-ground responses are needed to manage increasing risks. State and local government, industry and communities, will have a primary role in on-ground coastal adaptation action.

Continued work is required on developing standards and benchmarks, providing information, auditing infrastructure at risk, on-ground demonstrations of adaptation options, and local capacity building. Areas of uncertainty for the science components also need to be addressed.

#### Resources for further information

Climate change adaptation guidelines are another source of information for coastal managers.

International community of practice focused on this hazard

- The IOC aids and advises policy makers and managers in the reduction of risks from tsunamis, storm surges, Harmful Algal Blooms (HABs) and other coastal hazards by focusing on implementing adaptation measures to strengthen the resilience of vulnerable coastal communities, their infrastructure and service-providing ecosystems. The IOC is implementing the project “Adaptation to Climate Change in Coastal zones of West Africa”<sup>15</sup>
- United Nations Environment Program (UNEP)<sup>16</sup>
- United Nations Development Program (UNDP) Climate Change Adaptation – Coastal Zone Development Programme  
<http://adaptation-undp.org/thematic-areas/coastal-zone-development>  
(example case studies in Africa, Samoa)

Other substantial peer-reviewed guidelines from reputable institutions

- NOAA Office for Coastal Management  
<https://coast.noaa.gov/digitalcoast/tools/hazards-portal.html>
- Engineers Australia: Climate Change Adaptation Guidelines in Coastal Management and Planning, includes information on coastal processes and sediment budgets  
[https://www.engineersaustralia.org.au/sites/default/files/content-files/2016-12/climate\\_change\\_adaptation\\_guidelines.pdf](https://www.engineersaustralia.org.au/sites/default/files/content-files/2016-12/climate_change_adaptation_guidelines.pdf)
- CATALYST project (funded by the European Commission) Capacity Development for Hazard Risk Reduction and Adaptation  
<http://www.catalyst-project.eu> and Hare et al 2013.
- United State Army Corp of Engineers – Manuals -  
<http://www.publications.usace.army.mil/USACE-Publications/Engineer-Manuals/>
  - Environmental Engineering for Coastal Shore Protection
  - Design of Coastal Revertments, Seawalls, and Bulkheads
  - Coastal Engineering Manual - Part I to Part VI and Appendix A

## Open source hazard and risk modeling tools

- USACE Coastal Modeling System (CMS)  
<http://www.erdc.usace.army.mil/Media/Fact-Sheets/Fact-Sheet-Article-View/Article/484188/coastal-modeling-system/>
- USACE Beach-fx (Analyzing Evolution and Cost-Benefits of Shore Protection Projects) <http://www.erdc.usace.army.mil/Media/Fact-Sheets/Fact-Sheet-Article-View/Article/476718/beach-fx/>
- Deltares – XBeach <https://oss.deltares.nl/web/xbeach/>
- University of Southampton – SCAPE+(Soft Cliff And Platform Erosion)
- USGS DSAS (shoreline change) – requires ArcGIS 9.x or above  
<https://woodshole.er.usgs.gov/project-pages/DSAS/>
- SWAN (wave model) <http://www.swan.tudelft.nl/>
- NIWA Beach Profile Analysis Toolbox (BPAT) – free licence for academic (with restriction on number of regions), NZ\$850 for first commercial licence  
<https://www.niwa.co.nz/our-science/coasts/tools-and-resources/tides/bpat>

Successful and well documented national hazard and risk assessment with results used in DRR

- the U.S. Climate Resilience Toolkit shows a number of case studies in relation to coastal erosion - <https://toolkit.climate.gov/topics/coastal-flood-risk/coastal-erosion>
- The synthesis report<sup>17</sup> shows a number of case studies where assessments were made that led to adaptation measures being implemented to reduce the risk of coastal erosion.

*Authors: Martine Woolfe (Geoscience Australia)*

*Contributors and Peer Reviewers: Tom Baldock (University of Queensland)*

---

<sup>1</sup> Cooper, A. and Pilkey, O. H. (2012). Pitfalls of Shoreline Stabilization: Selected Case Studies. Coastal Research Library 3, DOI 10.1007/978-94-007-4123-2\_1, Springer Science + Business Media Dordrecht 2012.

<sup>2</sup> Queensland Government (2013). Coastal hazard technical guide: Determining coastal hazard areas (last accessed 26 Jan)  
<https://www.ehp.qld.gov.au/coastalplan/pdf/hazards-guideline.pdf> )

<sup>3</sup> IOC (2012) Guide on adaptation options in coastal areas for local decision makers: Guidance for decision making to cope with coastal changes in West Africa. IOC Manual and Guide No 62, ICAM Dossier No 7. (last accessed 26 Jan [http://www.accc-africa.org/sites/default/files/documents/2012/09/14/une-guide\\_acca\\_en\\_bd.pdf](http://www.accc-africa.org/sites/default/files/documents/2012/09/14/une-guide_acca_en_bd.pdf) )

<sup>4</sup> Bird, C. F. (1985). Coastline Changes., John Wiley, New York.

<sup>5</sup> Department of Climate Change (2009). Climate Change Risks to Australia's Coast: A First Pass National Assessment. Department of Climate Change, Australia. (Accessible from <https://www.environment.gov.au/climate-change/adaptation/publications/climate-change-risks-australias-coasts>, last accessed 16 Feb)



- 
- <sup>6</sup> Callaghan, J. and Helman, P. (2008). Severe storms on the east coast of Australia 1770-2008. Griffith Centre for Coastal Management. (last accessed 26 Jan <http://www.goldcoast.qld.gov.au/documents/bf/storms-east-coast-1770-2008.pdf> )
- <sup>7</sup> Choowong, M., Murakoshi, N., Hisada, K., Charusiri, P., Daorerk, V., Charoentitirat, T., Chutakositkanon, V., Jankaew, K. and Kanjanapayont, P. (2007). Erosion and Deposition by the 2004 Indian Ocean Tsunami in Phuket and Phang-nga Provinces, Thailand. *Journal of Coastal Research*: Volume 23, Issue 5: pp. 1270 – 1276.
- <sup>8</sup> Thom, B. (2014) Coastal Compartments Project - Summary for policy makers. (last accessed 24 April <http://www.environment.gov.au/climate-change/adaptation/publications/coastal-compartments-project-summary-policy-makers> )
- <sup>9</sup> Thom, B. (2014) Coastal Compartments Project - Summary for policy makers. (last accessed 24 April <http://www.environment.gov.au/climate-change/adaptation/publications/coastal-compartments-project-summary-policy-makers> )
- <sup>10</sup> Engineers Australia (2012) (last accessed 24 April 2017 [https://www.engineersaustralia.org.au/sites/default/files/content-files/2016-12/climate\\_change\\_adaptation\\_guidelines.pdf](https://www.engineersaustralia.org.au/sites/default/files/content-files/2016-12/climate_change_adaptation_guidelines.pdf) )
- <sup>11</sup> Kinsela, M.A. and Hanslow, D.J. (2013). Coastal Erosion Risk Assessment in New South Wales: Limitations and Potential Future Directions. Proceedings of the NSW Coastal Conference, 2013. (last accessed 16 Feb [http://www.coastalconference.com/2013/papers2013/NSWCC\\_Kinsela\\_Hanslow\\_2013.pdf](http://www.coastalconference.com/2013/papers2013/NSWCC_Kinsela_Hanslow_2013.pdf) )
- <sup>12</sup> IPCC (2014). Climate Change 2014: Impacts, Adaptation and Vulnerability. Fifth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change.
- <sup>13</sup> Environment Agency (2016). Managing flood and coastal erosion risks in England 1 April 2015 to 31 March 2016. (last accessed 24 April 2017 [https://www.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment\\_data/file/575139/National\\_Flood\\_Risk\\_Report\\_LIT\\_10517.pdf](https://www.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment_data/file/575139/National_Flood_Risk_Report_LIT_10517.pdf) )
- <sup>14</sup> Kinsela, M.A. and Hanslow, D.J. (2013). Coastal Erosion Risk Assessment in New South Wales: Limitations and Potential Future Directions. Proceedings of the NSW Coastal Conference, 2013. (last accessed 16 Feb [http://www.coastalconference.com/2013/papers2013/NSWCC\\_Kinsela\\_Hanslow\\_2013.pdf](http://www.coastalconference.com/2013/papers2013/NSWCC_Kinsela_Hanslow_2013.pdf) )
- <sup>15</sup> IOC (2012) Guide on adaptation options in coastal areas for local decision makers: Guidance for decision making to cope with coastal changes in West Africa. IOC Manual and Guide No 62, ICAM Dossier No 7. (last accessed 26 Jan [http://www.accc-africa.org/sites/default/files/documents/2012/09/14/une-guide\\_acca\\_en\\_bd.pdf](http://www.accc-africa.org/sites/default/files/documents/2012/09/14/une-guide_acca_en_bd.pdf) )
- <sup>16</sup> UNEP (2010). Technologies for Climate Change Adaptation: Coastal Erosion and Flooding. TNA guidebook Series (last accessed 26 Jan [http://www.unep.org/pdf/TNAhandbook\\_CoastalErosionFlooding.pdf](http://www.unep.org/pdf/TNAhandbook_CoastalErosionFlooding.pdf) )
- <sup>17</sup> Hare, M. C. van Bers, J. Mysiak (eds) (2013). A Best Practices Notebook for Disaster Risk Reduction and Climate Change Adaptation: Guidance and Insights for Policy and Practice from the CATALYST Project. TWAS: Trieste (last accessed 26 Jan [http://www.catalyst-project.eu/doc/CATALYST\\_D65\\_Best\\_Practices\\_Policy\\_Notebook.pdf](http://www.catalyst-project.eu/doc/CATALYST_D65_Best_Practices_Policy_Notebook.pdf) )
- <sup>18</sup> Helman, P., Thomalla, F., Metusela, C & Tomlinson, R. (2010). Storm tides, coastal erosion and inundation. National Climate Change Adaptation Research Facility, Gold Coast, 37 pp. (last accessed 26 Jan [https://www.nccarf.edu.au/sites/default/files/attached\\_files\\_publications/Helman\\_2010\\_Storm\\_tides\\_coastal\\_erosion\\_inundation.pdf](https://www.nccarf.edu.au/sites/default/files/attached_files_publications/Helman_2010_Storm_tides_coastal_erosion_inundation.pdf) )

---

<sup>19</sup> UNEP (2005). National Rapid Environmental Assessment – Thailand (last accessed 26 Jan [http://www.unep.org/tsunami/reports/TSUNAMI\\_THAILAND\\_LAYOUT.pdf](http://www.unep.org/tsunami/reports/TSUNAMI_THAILAND_LAYOUT.pdf) )

<sup>20</sup> Spalding, M., McIvor, A., Tonneijck, F., Tol, S. and van Eijk, P. (2012) Mangroves for coastal defence – Guidelines for coastal managers and policy makers. (last accessed 26 Jan <http://www.nature.org/media/oceansandcoasts/mangroves-for-coastal-defence.pdf> )

<sup>21</sup> Saito, Y. and Chainmanee, N. and Jarupongsakul, T. and Syvitski, J. (2007). Shrinking Metadeltas in Asia: Sea-level Rise and Sediment Reduction Impacts from Case Study of the Chao Phraya Delta. Land-Ocean Interaction in the Coastal Zone (LOICZ) INPRINT 2007/2 3-9.

<sup>22</sup> Eliot, M. (2016). Coastal sediments, beaches and other soft shores. CoastAdapt Information Manual 8, National Climate Change Adaptation Research Facility, Gold Coast.

<sup>23</sup> National Institute of Water and Atmospheric Research New Zealand (2011). Coastal erosion and inundation due to climate change in the Pacific and East Timor. Synthesis report prepared for Government of Australia). (last accessed 26 Jan <https://www.environment.gov.au/system/files/resources/d5ab25b9-5e53-425b-a974-015bc1182302/files/coastal-erosion.pdf> )